Introduction

At the end of 2015, governments from around the world chose a more sustainable path for our planet and our economy by adopting the Paris agreement on climate change and the UN 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. Sustainability has since long been at the heart of the European project. The EU is committed to development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs ([Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions 'Next steps for a sustainable European future European action for sustainability' (SWD(2016) 390 final)])

The EU wants its financial system to be aligned with its sustainability objectives. The commitment to incorporating sustainability elements into EU financial services policies and cross cutting initiatives is ingrained in the Mid-Term Review of the Capital Markets Union Action Plan ([Mid-Term Review of the Capital Markets Union Action Plan - COM(2017) 292 final]).

To develop the overall vision of sustainable finance that this requires, the Commission decided last year to appoint a High-Level Expert Group (HLEG) on sustainable finance under the chairmanship of Christian Thimann. This group is supporting the Commission to develop an overarching and comprehensive EU strategy on sustainable finance.

On 13 July 2017, the HLEG published its interim report which provided a comprehensive vision on sustainable finance. It identified two imperatives for Europe's financial system. "The first is to strengthen financial stability and asset pricing, by improving the assessment and management of long term risks and intangible factors of value creation. The second is to improve the contribution of the financial sector to sustainable and inclusive growth by financing long-term needs and accelerating the shift to a sustainable economy".

In its interim report ([EU High-Level Expert Group on Sustainable Finance, 'Financing a sustainable European economy' Interim report, July 2017]), the HLEG proposed eight early recommendations for policy action on sustainable finance. The third recommendation focused on establishing a "fiduciary duty"
that encompasses sustainability. The HLEG suggested clarifying that the duties of institutional investors and asset managers explicitly integrate material environmental, social and governance (ESG) factors and long term sustainability.

Given the maturity and the interest of the HLEG recommendation, the Commission has decided to start work on an impact assessment to assess whether and how a clarification of the duties of institutional investors and asset managers in terms of sustainability could contribute to a more efficient allocation of capital, and to sustainable and inclusive growth.

The duties of care, loyalty and prudence are embedded in the EU's financial framework governing obligations that institutional investors and asset managers owe to their end-investors/scheme members. These duties are the foundation of investment process.

The implementation of these duties implies fulfillment of various obligations for asset managers and institutional investors that include, for instance, the duty to act in the best interest of beneficiaries/investors, with due care, skill and diligence in performing their activities, including the identification and management of conflict of interests. They are also required to act honestly, and ensure adequate and proportionate performance of their activities.

Although these duties are embedded in the EU financial legal framework, it appears unclear that they require institutional investors and asset managers to assess the materiality of sustainability risks (i.e risks relating to environmental, social and governance issues). Market practices indicate that institutional investors and asset managers generally understand these duties as requiring a focus on maximising short-term financial returns and disregard long-term effects on performance due to sustainability factors and risks. This can lead to misallocation of capital and might give rise to concerns about financial stability since markets can be vulnerable to abrupt corrections, such as those associated with the delayed transition to low carbon economies.

This consultation will help the Commission gather and analyse the necessary evidence to determine possible action to improve the assessment and integration of sustainability factors in the relevant investment entities’ decision-making process.

Please note: In order to ensure a fair and transparent consultation process only responses received through our online questionnaire will be taken into account and included in the report summarising the responses. Should you have a problem completing this questionnaire or if you require particular assistance, please contact fisma-investors-duties-sustainability@ec.europa.eu.

More information:
- on this consultation
- on the protection of personal data regime for this consultation

Glossary

**Relevant investment entities**: entities managing assets entrusted to them

**Sustainability factors**: for the purpose of this consultation, sustainability factors refer to environmental, social and governance issues as defined by the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) (UNEP Inquiry, Definitions and Concepts: Background Note, 2016). The exact scope of sustainability factors to be addressed is also the object of this consultation.

**Environmental issues** relate to the quality and functioning of the natural environment and natural systems including biodiversity loss; greenhouse gas emissions, renewable energy, energy efficiency, natural resource depletion or pollution; waste management; ozone depletion; changes in land use; ocean acidification and changes to the nitrogen and phosphorus cycles
Social issues relate to rights, well-being and interests of people and communities including human rights, labour standards, health and safety, relations with local communities, activities in conflict zones, health and access to medicine, consumer protection; and controversial weapons.

Governance issues relate to the management of investee entities. Issues include board structure, size, diversity, skills and independence; executive pay; shareholder rights; stakeholder interaction; disclosure of information; business ethics; bribery and corruption; internal controls and risk management; and, in general, issues dealing with the relationship between a company’s management, its board, its shareholders and its other stakeholders.

1. Information about you

* Are you replying as:
  - [ ] a private individual
  - [ ] an organisation or a company
  - [ ] a public authority or an international organisation

* Name of your organisation:
  
  Forum Nachhaltige Geldanlage

Contact email address:
  
  The information you provide here is for administrative purposes only and will not be published
  
  pex@forum-ng.org

* Is your organisation included in the Transparency Register?
  (If your organisation is not registered, we invite you to register here, although it is not compulsory to be registered to reply to this consultation. Why a transparency register?)
  
  - [ ] Yes
  - [ ] No

* If so, please indicate your Register ID number:
  
  773898429623-54

* Type of organisation:
  
  - [ ] Academic institution
  - [ ] Company, SME, micro-enterprise, sole trader
  - [ ] Institutional investor
  - [ ] Consultancy, law firm
  - [ ] Consumer association
  - [ ] Industry association
  - [ ] Media
  - [ ] Non-governmental organisation
  - [ ] Think tank
  - [ ] Trade union
  - [ ] Other

* Where are you based and/or where do you carry out your activity?
  
  Germany
**Field of activity or sector (if applicable):**

- Accounting
- Auditing
- Banking
- Credit rating agencies
- Insurance
- Occupational pension provision
- Personal pension provision
- Collective Investment Management
- Individual portfolio management
- Financial advice
- Market infrastructure operation (e.g. CCPs, CSDs, Stock exchanges)
- Service provider (e.g. index provider, research providers)
- Other
- Not applicable

*Please specify your activity field(s) or sector(s):*

Sustainable Investment

---

**Important notice on the publication of responses**

*Contributions received are intended for publication on the Commission’s website. Do you agree to your contribution being published? [see specific privacy statement](#)*

- Yes, I agree to my response being published under the name I indicate (name of your organisation/company/public authority or your name if your reply as an individual)
- No, I do not want my response to be published

**2. Your opinion**

**2.1 Questions addressed to all respondents:**

I. General overview

1) Do you think relevant investment entities should consider sustainability factors in their investment decision-making?

- Yes
- No
Please explain the reasons:

An asset managers’ decision about investing the assets of beneficiaries highly depends on the clients’ decision and the definition of fiduciary duty: based on a risk management perspective the intermediate has to make sure to optimize a long-term investment strategy for the sake of the beneficiaries. For this reason, asset managers have to comply with the principles of care, loyalty and prudence. With growing data on the correlation of ESG aspects and risk management it has become evident in recent years that ESG-aspects belong to these and thus should be integrated in fiduciary duty.

2) What are the sustainability factors that the relevant investment entities should consider? (Please make a choice and indicate the importance of the different factors (1 is not important and 5 is very important). (Please refer to the definition in the Glossary).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sustainability Factors</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Climate factors (these include climate mitigation factors as well as climate resilience factors)</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other environmental factors</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social factors</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governance factors</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Importance for climate factors:
- ☑️ 1
- ☑️ 2
- ☑️ 3
- ☑️ 4
- ☑️ 5

Importance for other environmental factors:
- ☑️ 1
- ☑️ 2
- ☑️ 3
- ☑️ 4
- ☑️ 5

Importance for social factors:
- ☑️ 1
- ☑️ 2
- ☑️ 3
- ☑️ 4
- ☑️ 5
Importance for governance factors:

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please specify, which specific factors within the above categories you are considering, if any:

Within the broad spectrum of sustainability issues, all categories mentioned above are essential and thus to be considered for ESG integration - with differentiations regarding levels of materiality on an indicator level. The term of materiality defines a substantial likelihood that the disclosure of the omitted fact would have been viewed by the reasonable investor as having significantly altered the 'total mix' of information made available. Due to the fact that the character of an indicator is highly dynamic and that assessment is needed, there can only be a frame that contains all the above mentioned categories and leaves the decisions on the indicator level to the managers of investment processes.

3) Based on which criteria should the relevant investment entities consider sustainability factors in their investment decision making?

Please explain:

The investment entities should, based on their customers' sustainability focus and their investment scope, evaluate the materiality of different sustainability factors as part of their risk management processes. The result of this analysis and evaluation must then be seen in the light of data availability and data quality.

4) Which of the following entities should consider sustainability factors in their investment decision-making? (Possibility to select several answers). If so, please indicate the level of impact that this would have (1 is the smallest impact and 5 is the highest impact).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entity</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Occupational pension providers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal pension providers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life insurance providers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-life insurance providers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collective investment funds (UCITS, AIF, EuVECA, EuSEF, ELTIF)</td>
<td>⬜️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual portfolio managers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please explain:

Although there are different challenges for these entities due to their business models, all of them face the challenge to incorporate ESG in their investment strategies' risk management process if they are to act...
prudent. The question of sustainable impact rising, this might become the second aspect why all of these entities should consider sustainability factors.

Level of impact for occupational pension providers:
- 5

Level of impact for personal pension providers:
- 5

Level of impact for life insurance providers:
- 5

Level of impact non-life insurance providers:
- 5

Level of impact for collective investment funds (UCITS, AIF, EuVECA, EuSEF, ELTIF):
- 5

Level of impact for individual portfolio managers:
- 5
II. Problem

5) To your knowledge, what share of investment entities active in the EEA (European Economic Area) currently consider sustainability factors in their investment decisions?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investment Entity</th>
<th>All or almost all</th>
<th>More than two thirds</th>
<th>More than half</th>
<th>More than a third</th>
<th>None or almost none</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Occupational pension providers</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal pension providers</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life insurance providers</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-life insurance providers</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collective investment funds (UCITS, AIF, EuVECA, EuSEF, ELTIF)</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual portfolio managers</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6) To your knowledge, which is the level of integration of sustainability factors by the different investment entities (active in the EEA)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investment Entity</th>
<th>High integration</th>
<th>Medium integration</th>
<th>Low integration</th>
<th>No integration</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Occupational pension providers</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal pension providers</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life insurance providers</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-life insurance providers</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collective investment funds (UCITS, AIF, EuVECA, EuSEF, ELTIF)</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual portfolio managers</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7) Which constraints prevent relevant investment entities from integrating sustainability factors or facilitate their disregard. Please provide the importance of the different constraints that you consider relevant (1 is not important and 5 is very important).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constraint</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lack of expertise and experience</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of data/research</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
<td>〇</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lack of impact on asset performance
Inadequate methodologies for the calculation of sustainability risks
Inadequate sustainable impact metrics
Excessive costs for the scale of your company
No interest from financial intermediaries
No interest from beneficiaries/clients
European regulatory barriers
National regulatory barriers
Lack of fiscal incentives
Lack of eligible entities
Others

Please provide more details on what the constraints/reasons are and how they limit the integration of sustainability factors:

As our annual FNG market study shows, institutional investors’ interest has risen strongly in the past few years and continues to do so. The process of so called mainstreaming sustainable finance is the phenomenon behind these rising numbers of asset managers and asset owners incorporating ESG aspects. But the growing percentage especially of Responsible Investment cannot conceal the still existing lack of information on RI and SRI in the mainstream finance market. Additionally, (better) metrics for risk management and sustainable impact measurement, better data quality and - last but not least - a supportive regulatory framework would be factors to improve ESG integration. Apart from these aspects located in the finance business, a rising level of sustainability performance among (corporate) issuers would be desirable to have more eligible entities.

8) How challenging is it for relevant investment entities to integrate the different sustainability factors? (1 is not challenging and 5 is very challenging) - Please refer to the definition in the Glossary).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Climate factors (these include climate mitigation factors as well as climate resilience factors)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Environment factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governance factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please explain:
The challenge of integrating these different sustainability factors differs corresponding to the maturity of the factor. This refers to the finance markets’ awareness of the resulting problems and their materiality as well as the existence of metrics and the experience on an indicator level.

III. Policy options

9) In which area should relevant investment entities consider sustainability factors within their investment decision-making? Please make a choice and indicate the relevance of the different areas (1 is minor relevance and 5 is very high relevance).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Governance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment strategy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asset allocation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Relevance for governance:
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Relevance for investment strategy:
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Relevance for asset allocation:
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Relevance for risk management:
10) Within the area of governance, which arrangements would be most appropriate to enable the integration of sustainability factors? (1 is the not appropriate and 5 is the very appropriate).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arrangement</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specific sustainability investment Committee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific sustainability member of the Board</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability performance as part of remuneration criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integration of sustainability factors in the investment decision process</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integration of sustainability checks in the control process</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periodic reporting to senior management/board</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11) Should insurance and pension providers consult their beneficiaries on an annual/periodic basis on their preference as regards sustainability factors?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain:

as written above, dialogue with the beneficiaries in order to learn about their sustainability approach is essential to be able to fulfill fiduciary duties best

12) Within the portfolio’s asset allocation, should relevant investment entities consider sustainability factors even if the consideration of these factors would lead to lower returns to beneficiaries/clients in the medium/short term?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain:
The feeling that there is a contradiction between environmental, social and economic targets must be overcome or at least reduced - a shift of the focus from short-termism to long-termism in the finance market is the only way to ensure that financial stability as well as EU's environmental and social targets are met. On a portfolio level, ESG as part of fiduciary duty is not only about return, but about risk: ESG integration must be an instrument to enable decisions with a more comprehensive view than only on financial data - with a better result in risk management for the beneficiary. Nevertheless, it must be the choice of these two parties and their individual time-horizon how to integrate ESG in order to reach this optimally.

13) Within the area of risk management, does the current set of corporate disclosures provide the relevant investment entities with adequate information to perform sustainability risk assessments in respect of investee companies?
- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain where the possible gaps are, if any:

There is no common disclosure standard yet - e.g. the EU-CSR-directive has been transferred into national laws very differently in the member countries, especially with regard to the question if and which criteria there are for disclosure. Risk management and ESG integration have not yet found the same language to identify common requests and transfer them into indicator availability.

14) Do the overall information or risk metrics available enable the relevant investment entities to adequately perform sustainability risk assessments?
- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain where the possible gaps are, if any:

Apart from the problem written above, there is room for improvement concerning the coverage of risk-related ESG data for whole Investment Universes - partly due to lacking data availability at company level.

15) Do you think that uniform criteria to perform sustainability risk assessments should be developed at EU level?
- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain:

This is a task that, as written above, belongs to the asset manager - based on the approach and focus of the beneficiary. Investment entities should be given the target to perform sustainability risk assessments and to disclose how they do it - the decision about the way they do it should be left to them.
16) In case material exposure to sustainability factors is identified, what are the most appropriate actions to be performed by the relevant investment entity?

There are various investment strategies in SRI to address material sustainability factors in portfolio management - FNG’s homepage gives an overview: https://www.forum-ng.org/en/sustainableinvestments/sustainable-investments.html

17) Should relevant investment entities disclose how they consider sustainability factors within their investment decision-making?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain:

This can be done for the public on the level of investment strategy - whereas on the level of the beneficiaries it can only be done in individual portfolio reporting.

If yes, what areas should the disclosure cover? Please make a choice and indicate the relevance of disclosure within the different areas (1 is minor relevance and 5 is high relevance):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Governance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment strategy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asset allocation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Relevance for governance:

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
Relevance for investment strategy:
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Relevance for asset allocation:
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Relevance for risk management:
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

If yes, where?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-contractual disclosure (e.g. prospectuses)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-annual/annual reports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periodic reports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Website</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newsletters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factsheets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketing materials</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. Impacts for stakeholders

18) Which stakeholder groups would incur costs and which would benefit from integrating sustainability factors within investment decision-making by relevant investment entities?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benefits</th>
<th>Costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Occupational pension providers | ✔ | ✔
---|---|---
Personal pension providers | ✔ | ✔
Life insurance providers | ✔ | ✔
Non-life insurance providers | ✔ | ✔
Collective investment funds (UCITS, AIF, EuVECA, EuSEF, ELTIF) | ✔ | ✔
Individual portfolio managers | ✔ | ✔
General public | ✔ | ☐
Retail investors | ✔ | ✔
Financial advisors | ✔ | ✔
Service providers (index provider, research providers….) | ✔ | ✔
Other stakeholders (please specify) | ✔ | ✔

**Please explain:**

Apart from the general public, all market participants face the benefits in form of better decisions, better risk management and other. On the other hand cost do exist for all market players for capacity building, data and assessments. In the opinion of our members, the benefits are by far larger than the costs.

2.2 Questions addressed to end-investors

1) Do you take into account sustainability factors when you choose your investment products or investment entity?
- Yes
- No

**Please explain the reasons:**

Long term value creation is only possible when the risks out of neglecting ESG factors are avoided.
a) If you consider sustainability factors, indicate the importance of the following sustainability factors for your investment decision (1 is the smallest impact and 5 is the highest impact).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Climate factors (these include climate mitigation factors as well as climate resilience factors)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>⬜</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other environmental factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>⬜</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>⬜</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governance factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>⬜</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>⬜</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b) If you consider sustainability factors, is there sufficient information on the different sustainability factors provided by asset managers and institutional investors to help you take informed investment decisions?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Climate factors (these include climate mitigation factors as well as climate resilience factors)</td>
<td></td>
<td>⬜</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other environmental factors</td>
<td></td>
<td>⬜</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social factors</td>
<td></td>
<td>⬜</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governance factors</td>
<td>⬜</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td></td>
<td>⬜</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Level of information for climate factors (*1 is very little information and 5 is very extensive information*):

- ⬜ 1
- ⬜ 2
- ⬜ 3
- ⬜ 4
- 5

Level of information for other environmental factors (*1 is very little information and 5 is very extensive information*):

- ⬜ 1
- ⬜ 2
- ⬜ 3
- ⬜ 4
- 5

Level of information for social factors (*1 is very little information and 5 is very extensive information*):

- 1
Level of information for governance factors (1 is very little information and 5 is very extensive information):

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

If you indicate that there is insufficient information, what kind of information would allow you to consider sustainability factors when you choose your investment products or investment entity? Please explain and indicate how you would like to receive it.

e.g. information in form of labels

---

3. Additional information

Should you wish to provide additional information (e.g. a position paper, report) or raise specific points not covered by the questionnaire, you can upload your additional document(s) here:

c13a2284-4a40-4a75-9164-60aed062e1d8/20171206_FNG_Fiduciary_and_Investors_Duties.pdf

Useful links


Contact

fisma-investors-duties-sustainability@ec.europa.eu